Journal of Applied Life Sciences and Environment Vol. LIV, Issue 2 (186) / 2021: 132-145

EFFECTS OF SALINITY ON GROWTH AND PROLINE CONTENT ON BEAN AND ALFALFA

Sabah RAZI^{1,*}, Fatima Zohra KHADHIR¹

*E-mail: sabah_razi@yahoo.fr, sabah.razi@univ-biskra.dz

Received: Mar. 02, 2021. Revised: June 15, 2021 Accepted: June 21, 2021. Published online: 28 June 2021

ABSTRACT. Soil salinity is a major agricultural constraint in many countries. Plants' response to salinity depends on the species and the salt concentration in the soil. The objective of this study was to test the resistance of three leguminous plants common bean (variety 'El-Jadida'), broad bean (variety 'Claro de Luna'), and alfalfa (variety 'Diamon') - to several salinity rates and to show which one was more resistant to salt stress. This study was carried out under controlled conditions, with six NaCl concentrations (0, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 g/kg) added to a mixture of potting soil and sand. A completely randomised design was used, where the three legumes cultivated with different salt were concentrations to determine the effect of these doses on fresh biomass, dry mass, and physiological parameters (sodium and proline content). The results showed the negative effect of salinity on fresh biomass and dry mass of shoots and roots. Claro de Luna, the broad bean variety, was more adapted to salinity than alfalfa and common bean. The amount of sodium in the shoots and roots of the three species increased with increasing salinity. Alfalfa may play a role in soil desalination; at 53.33 mM NaCl, 100 mg alfalfa dry mass absorbed 4.5 mg Na per pot, which corresponded to the uptake of about 0.6 kg Na per hectare of soil. Proline content increased with increasing salt concentrations.

Keywords: salt stress; proline content; bean; alfalfa; phytoremediation.

INTRODUCTION

Salinity affects agricultural yield and quality in arid and semi-arid regions (Schulze *et al.*, 2005). Soil is said to have become salinized when the salt concentration in the root zone reaches a level too high for optimum plant growth and production (Hanson *et al.*, 2006). More than 20% of total cultivated lands in the world contain salt levels high enough to cause salt stress in cultivated plants (Hasanuz *et al.*, 2013).

¹ Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Biskra 07000, Algeria

EFFECTS OF SALINITY ON GROWTH AND PROLINE CONTENT ON BEAN AND ALFALFA

Salinity is becoming a serious agricultural problem, especially in irrigated lands located in semi-arid areas. High salt concentrations in the soil reduce the production of various plants around the world (Gorai and Neffati, 2007). Salts dissolved in soil water can reduce crop growth in two ways: by osmotic influences and by specific-ion toxicities (Hanson et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2018). Crop plants differ a great deal in their ability to survive and vield satisfactorily when grown in saline soils. Some plants evolved strategies to survive and reproduce under highly saline conditions, where most plants cannot. These plants are named 'halophytes,' and they represent only 1% of all plant species (Flowers and Colmer, 2008). However, halophytes are defined as having the ability "to complete the life cycle in a salt concentration of at least 200 mm NaCl (> 200 mM NaCl) under conditions similar to those that might be encountered in the natural environment" (Flowers et al., 1986). Most plants cannot withstand even low (< 40 mM NaCl) salt concentrations (Munns and Tester, 2008).

The salt tolerance of halophytes varies among species and among different stages of a plant's life cycle, and the seed germination stage is generally considered more sensitive to salt than the mature plant growth stage for a given species (Khan *et al.*, 2001).

It is important to determine the limit of salinity that crops can tolerate and determine whether they can uptake and accumulate salts to use them for soil desalination. This may encourage and motivate farmers to introduce these plants into their cropping system.

The goal of this study was to test the tolerance of three cultivated species of legumes to different salt concentrations and demonstrate which was more tolerant to salinity, how much salt they can accumulate, and the response to salinity by proline production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental plants and growth conditions

Broad bean (*Vicia faba*, variety 'Claro de Luna,' from Spain, moderately sensitive to salt soils and largely cultivated in Biskra and arid regions with salt soils), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*, variety 'Diamon,' from the USA, tolerant to salinity), and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, variety 'El djadida,' from Spain, sensitive to salinity) were selected as experimental plants for this study.

The experiment was conducted at a laboratory of the Department of Agricultural Sciences of the University of Biskra, Algeria, under controlled conditions, with a 16-hour photoperiod and temperature varying from 25 to 28°C. Relative humidity was maintained at 60%.

Plastic pots with a capacity of 5 kg were used. The bottom of each pot was perforated and lined with a layer of gravel to facilitate aeration. The seeds were cultivated in a substrate consisting of a mixture of sand and potting soil in a 1:1 ratio.

The potting soil was used to allow water retention and to provide the necessary nutrients to plants. It was composed of 100 to 150 mg/kg of nitrogen, 10 to 20 mg/kg of phosphorus, 100 to 150 mg/kg of potassium, 40 to 50 mg/kg of calcium, 10 mg/kg of magnesium, 86 mg/kg of sodium and 50 to 75 mg/kg of sulphates, with an electrical conductivity (EC) of 0.5 to 1 dS/m and a pH of 5.5 to 6.5.

Salt concentrations and treatment preparation

Six salt (NaCL) concentrations were prepared: 0 (control), 7.11, 51.33, 102.66, 153.99, and 205.33 mM, which corresponded to 0.0, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 g/kg, respectively.

To prepare these salt concentrations, NaCl was added to the substrate according to the salt concentration desired. When adding NaCl, the same amount of substrate was removed from the pot, and then the salt was mixed with the substrate.

The electrical conductivity (EC) of prepared substrates with different NaCl concentrations were measured using an EC meter (one weight of substrate to five volume of distilled water) (*Table 1*).

Table 1 - Electrical conductivity (EC)
of according to the amount of salt added to the substrat

NaCI doses (g/kg)	0	1	3	6	9	12
EC (dS/m)	0.90	1.56	4.68	9.37	14.06	18.75

Seed sowing

Intact and homogeneous seeds of the three species were chosen and filtered in distilled water for 7 hours to promote germination. The seeds were sown homogenously at a depth of 2 cm, with 20 seeds per pot for common bean, five seeds per pot for broad bean, and 20 seeds per pot for alfalfa, to ensure good colonisation of the soil by plants. Immediately, the pots were irrigated to the holding capacity. Each pot was irrigated daily with potable water and maintained at 2/3 of the holding water capacity during the test.

Experimental design

For all three experiments, a completely randomised design was adopted. With three independent replicates per treatment, each replicate consisted of one pot. Eighteen pots were tested for each plant species (six salt concentrations with three replicates for treatment for each plant species) (*Fig. 1* and *Fig. 2*).

Plant harvest

The trial lasted 35 days until sufficient biomass was obtained. At the end of the experiment, plants from each

treatment were harvested carefully, and roots were separated from shoots and washed quickly with distilled water to remove the rest of the substrate. Then, they were dried with a paper towel. Fresh biomass and the dry weight of plants was recorded under different salinity concentrations.

			_				_		
D ₀	D_1	D ₉		D ₁₂		D ₃		D ₆	
D ₁₂	D ₀	D ₆		D ₀		D ₉		D ₉	
D ₃	D ₉	D ₁		D1		D ₀		D ₃	
D ₀	D ₃	D ₁₂		D ₀		D ₁		D ₁₂	
D ₁	D ₁₂	D ₆	D ₆		6	D ₃		D1	
D ₉	D ₆	D ₃		D ₆		D ₉		D ₁₂	
Common bean Broad bean									
		•	_	_					
		D ₃		D ₀	L) ₉			
		D ₉		D ₃	0) ₀			
		D ₀	۵	D ₁₂	0	01			
		D ₁₂	1	D ₁	D ₃				
		D ₁	D ₁₂		D ₆				
		D ₉	D ₆		0	D ₆			
Alfalfa									

Figure 1 - Experimental design (D₀= control, D₁= 1 g/kg, D₃= 3 g/kg, D₆= 6 g/kg, D₉= 9 g/kg, D₁₂= 12 g/kg (g of NaCl per kg of substrate)

EFFECTS OF SALINITY ON GROWTH AND PROLINE CONTENT ON BEAN AND ALFALFA

The samples were weighed to obtain the weight of fresh biomass and then dried rapidly in an oven at 80°C until a stable weight was obtained. They were weighed again to obtain the weight of dry matter. For both shoots and roots, fresh material was analysed to determine the proline content, and dried material was analysed for sodium

RESULTS

Effect of salt on fresh biomass and dry mass of aerial parts

The data showed that the average fresh biomass and dry mass produced by the three crops were inversely proportional to the concentration of NaCl.

For common beans, the shoot fresh biomass and dry mass were the highest in the control treatment, at 59.1 and 7.52 g, respectively. It decreased at salt concentrations of 1, 3, and 6 g/kg. At 3 g/kg treatment, the plant fresh biomass and dry mass were reduced (44.27 and 5.31 g, respectively), which corresponded to 74.9 and 70.61% of the control weight. The plant withered at salt concentrations of 9 and 12 g/kg (Fig. 2-a). The common bean was sensitive to increasing salt concentrations in the soil. It could not tolerate more than 6 g/kg NaCl (51.33 mM).

For the broad bean, the amount of fresh biomass and dry mass decreased with the increase in the concentration of NaCl in the substrate. Similar to common bean, a reduction of fresh biomass and dry mass of shoots was observed at a treatment of 3 g/kg NaCl, compared to the control treatment (estimated at 67.68% and 67.74%, respectively). However, there was a weak production of dry mass at the 6 g/kg (51.33 mM) and 9 g/kg (102.66 mM) concentrations of salt (*Fig. 2-b*). At these concentrations, this plant showed some resistance compared to the common bean.

For alfalfa, similar results were recorded as that for broad bean (Fig. 2-c). The average quantities of fresh biomass mass were and drv inversely proportional to the NaCl concentration in the substrate at a concentration of 3 g/kg NaCl. The weight loss in these two treatments, compared to the control treatment, were 74.5 and 89.25%, respectively. Plant production did not occur for the treatment with a 9 g/kg salt concentration.

Effect of salinity on fresh biomass and dry mass of roots

Regarding fresh biomass and dry mass produced by the roots, a negative effect of salinity was recorded.

For common beans, the averages fresh biomass and dry mass produced were highest for the control, followed by the 1 g/kg treatment and this weight decreased with the increase in salinity concentration. The weights recorded for 9 and 12 g/kg concentrations were almost zero (*Fig. 3-a*).

For the broad bean, the average root fresh biomass and dry mass were 17.3 and 2.137 g, respectively; these quantities decreased with the increase in salt concentration (*Fig. 3-b*).

Alfalfa presented the same results as that for broad bean, but no biomass was produced for the 9 and 12 g/kg salt concentrations (*Fig. 3-c*)

Sabah RAZI, Fatima Zohra KHADHIR



Figure 2 - Influence of salinity on the average amount of aerial fresh biomass and dry mass produced by common bean (a), by broad bean (b) and by alfalfa (c)



Figure 3 - Influence of salinity on the average quantities of fresh biomass and dry mass produced by the roots of common bean (a), broad bean (b) and alfalfa (c)

Sodium content

The sodium content in the aerial part of the roots was determined for the three plants. For common bean, the results showed that the roots contained more sodium than the aerial parts, and the sodium content increased with the increase in NaCl concentration up to 6 g/kg. At higher concentrations (9 and 12 g/kg), the sodium content decreased, but remained higher than that in the control treatment (*Fig. 4-a*).



Sabah RAZI, Fatima Zohra KHADHIR

Figure 4 - Influence of salinity on the average sodium content of the shoots and roots of common bean (a), broad bean (b) and alfalfa (c)

For broad bean, the results were different; the sodium content of the aerial parts were higher than those of the roots, but increased with the 1 g/kg concentration and then the sodium content decreased (*Fig. 4-b*).

For alfalfa, the results showed that the sodium content of the shoot parts were higher than those of the roots and increased with the increase in salinity concentration up to a 6 g/kg. At higher

concentrations, the sodium content was 0 (*Fig. 4-c*).

Proline content

Only aerial parts were analysed for proline content. For high salt concentrations, we were unable to analyse and determine proline content due to the non-existent or very low quantities of biomass produced.

The analysis of proline content in plants grown in different NaCl concentrations showed that for common bean and alfalfa, the increase in proline content depended on the NaCl concentration (*Fig. 5-a* and *5-c*).

Additionally, for the broad bean, proline content increased with NaCl concentration up to a 3 g/kg concentration. At 6 g/kg there was a decline in the proline content in the plant, which suffered from salinity stress (*Fig. 5-b*).

DISCUSSION

The obtained data showed that salinity negatively influenced the growth of all three legumes. Salt stress reduces plant growth (van Zelm et al., 2020). According to Rios-Gonzalez etal. (2002), salinity reduced aerial plant growth by inhibiting leaf initiation and expansion and reducing inter-node growth and accelerating leaf abscission. These results were confirmed by Zahran and Sprent (1986), who showed that, in legumes, salt stress of 50 to 200 mM NaCl significantly limits productivity. Several other studies have shown that fresh biomass and dry mass of roots were negatively affected by salinity (Bayuelo-Jimenez et al., 2002). Bertrand *et al.* (2020), in their study about the effect of salt stress on several varieties of alfalfa, showed that the shoot biomass yield was reduced with an increase in NaCl concentration from 0 to 120 mM.

In this study, common bean showed a decrease and loss of growth under the effect of salinity ≥ 3 g/kg, which corresponds to 51.33 mM NaCl. Additionally, according to Karabay *et al.* (2020), salt stress reduced shoot growth and biomass accumulation of common bean.

Huq and Larher (1984) showed that at a 50 mM NaCl concentration and an electrical conductivity of less than 2 dS/m, growth was reduced by 50%. The loss of plant growth may be explained by the inhibition of leaf growth in susceptible plants, which is the first response to excess salt in the medium (Munns and Termaat, 1986).

The presence of Na⁺ ions in the cvtosol inactivates several steps of photosynthesis, including electron transport (Allakhverdiev et al., 1999). A high concentration of Cl⁻ causes the degradation of chlorophyll caused by the shrinkage of the membranes, which influences the production of plant organs. A significant reduction in fresh biomass and dry mass of root matter was recorded with the increase of salinity concentration, compared to fresh biomass and dry mass obtained in the control treatment. However, this reduction was greater in alfalfa, as was also recorded by Khan et al. (1994) for the same plant.

Sabah RAZI, Fatima Zohra KHADHIR



Figure 5 - Influence of salinity on the average proline content in common bean (a), broad bean (b) and alfalfa (c)

Furthermore, Hussain *et al.* (2002) reported that the total fresh biomass and dry mass production of *Trifolium alexandrinum* significantly decreased with increasing salinity.

Munns and Termaat (1986) demonstrated that the roots were less sensitive to salinity than the aerial parts of the plant, and they were only affected by high doses of salt, which is the case with the concentration of 3 g/kg of NaCl in this study.

In the presence of salt, the plant was under stress due to two main causes: the increase in the osmotic pressure of the roots' environment and the toxic effect generated by the high concentration of ions (Demir and Kocaçalişkan, 2002; Muchate et al., 2017). This led to a decrease in leaf growth. There was an increase in the internal concentration of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, which are toxic to Additionally. cells the high concentration of these two elements leads to an imbalance in the availability of nutrients. their absorption, plant's and the requirements for essential nutrients increase (Greenwav and Munns. 1980). Munns and Tester (2008) demonstrated that the negative effect of salinity on plants that were initially grown with an osmotic stress component caused by the decrease of which soil potential, caused а restriction in the uptake of water by roots. Therefore, the water content decreased in plants.

Legumes generally responded to salinity by excluding sodium ions from their leaves. According to Munns (1992), the salt absorbed by plants indirectly controlled growth by affecting turgor, photosynthesis, and the activity of specific enzymes. First, growth is reduced by the decrease in the soil water potential; then, the leaves die because of the rapid increase in salt in the cell wall and cytoplasm.

Salt stress leads to water stress. The unavailability of water becomes a limiting factor for plants under saline conditions, which causes a "physiological drought". A decrease in the moisture content of the aerial parts was also observed with increasing salinity in chickpea cultivars by Gholipoor *et al.* (2001). Li *et al.* (2010) reported that low water content might not allow alfalfa to accumulate osmolytes.

The results showed that the increase in sodium uptake by the three tested plants depended on the NaCl concentration. In the presence of a high concentration of NaCl, the plants absorbed increasing amounts of sodium Fortmeier and Schubert (1995) indicated that the accumulation of excess sodium is highly toxic to the growth of corn.

Esechie *et al.* (2002) studied the effects of NaCl on the cationic balance of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and observed that with increasing salt concentration, the Na concentration also increased in plant parts.

The sodium content of the aerial parts was not the same as those of the root parts. For common bean, the sodium content of the roots was higher than those of the aerial parts. Moreover, plants sensitive to salinity only accumulated low amounts of sodium in the leaves, which was the case in common bean. Slama (1987) indicated that this plant was very sensitive to salinity. The accumulation of Na⁺ decreased in the following order: roots, stems, leaves. This plant is known to be 'exclusive' and excludes Na because it does not accumulate salts in the mesophile (Pan et al., 2011).

The roots of sensitive plants were less efficient at introducing Na^+ into the xylem and more efficient at retaining it in their tissues than those of tolerant plants (Slama, 1987).

In saline soil, roots excluded all Na^+ and Cl^- , while taking on water; they allowed only 2-3% to be transported through the xylem to the shoots (Munns *et al.*, 2021). For broad bean and alfalfa crops, the results were different; more sodium was found in the aerial parts than in the roots. Therefore, these plants were tolerant to salinity and known as 'salt includer plants'.

The accumulation of sodium in the roots and shoots showed that plants may play a role in soil desalination. At 53.33 Mm NaCl, 100 mg of common bean dry mass absorbed 0.4 mg Na, broad bean absorbed 0.3 mg Na, and alfalfa dry mass absorbed 3.45 mg Na per 100 mg dry mass per pot (572 cm²) of pot surface), which corresponded to 0.6 kg Na per hectare of soil and may be the most convenient to remove sodium. At а higher NaCL concentration, plant growth was very low, which may be inconvenient for plant cultivation even if the amount of sodium uptake is higher.

The tested legumes can contribute to desalination of soils, especially alfalfa, since they withstood certain doses of salinity; it is important to introduce these crops into cropping systems, as they are of economic importance and favourable to the nitrogen fertility of the soil (Kakraliya et al., 2018). Using plants to manage contaminated soils in general and soils affected by salinity has become an economically and environmentally acceptable strategy (Dickinson *et al.*, 2009).

In general, an increase in the proline content was observed in the three plants with an increase in the NaCl concentration. Furthermore, to with salinity. plants cope the accumulated proline. Under saline stress, osmotic adjustment mechanisms by the accumulation of solutes (proline) were activated. The soluble proline content increased gradually and steadily with increasing salt stress levels. Proline has a role in strengthening the antioxidant system and fighting stress damage (Molinari et al., 2007). It could also intervene in the regulation of cytoplasmic pH (Pesci and Beffagna, 1986) or constitute a nitrogen reserve used by the plant after the period of stress (Tal and Rosenthal, 1979).

Maintaining cell turgor is an effective way to resist water stress. This mechanism results in an increase the osmotic potential by in an accumulation of osmolytes in the cytoplasm (Cushman and Bohnert, 2000). The modalities of osmotic adjustment vary depending on the variety and intensity of the stress applied (Blum, 1988). Proline is the most accumulated organic molecule in plants during stress (Nakashima et al., 1998). Proline plays an important role in protecting plants from osmotic stress.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the increase of salinity negatively affects the growth of the three tested legumes (common bean, broad bean, and alfalfa). The three plants accumulated sodium and proline. At a 3 g/kg NaCl concentration, the plants were stressed.

The common bean (variety Eldjadia) showed some resistance to salinity, while the broad bean is a salttolerant plant and showed better resistance than alfalfa (variety Diamant antisale), but alfalfa absorbed more sodium and could be a solution for the remediation of salt-affected soils and may uptake 0.6 kg sodium from 1 ha of soil at a concentration of 3 g/l of NaCl.

Field studies must be carried out to confirm the obtained results, and further studies must be carried out to test the effect of other salt concentrations on the tested plants and on other crops and varieties to select the best plants for soil desalinisation.

REFERENCES

- Alberico, G.J. & Cramer, G.R. (1993). Is the salt tolerance of maize related to sodium exclusion? I. Preliminary screening of seven cultivars. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 16(11): 2289-2303, DOI: 10.1080/01904169309364687
- Acevedo, R., Morelock, J. & Olivieri, R.A. (1989). Modification of coral reef zonation by terrigenous sediment stress. *PALAIOS*, 4(1): 92, DOI: 10.2307/3514736
- Allakhverdiev, S.I., Nishiyama, Y., Suzuki, I., Tasaka, Y. & Murata, N. (1999). Genetic engineering of the unsaturation of fatty acids in membrane lipids alters the tolerance

of *Synechocystis* to salt stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 96(10): 5862-5867, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.96.10.5862

- Azevedo Neto, A.D. de, Prisco, J.T., Enéas-Filho, J., Lacerda, C.F. de, Silva, J.V., Costa, P.H.A. da & Gomes-Filho, E. (2004). Effects of salt stress on plant growth, stomatal response and solute accumulation of different maize genotypes. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, 16(1): 31-38, DOI: 10.1590/s1677-04202004000100005
- Bayuelo-Jiménez, J.S., Debouck, D.G. & Lynch, J.P. (2002). Salinity tolerance in *Phaseolus* species during early vegetative growth. *Crop Sci.*, 42(6): 2184-2192, DOI: 10.2135/cropsci20 02.2184
- Chaves, M.M., Flexas, J. & Pinheiro, C. (2008). Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. *Ann. Bot.*, 103(4): 551-560, DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcn125
- Cordovilla, M.P., Ocaña, A., Ligero, F. & Lluch, C. (1995). Salinity effects on growth analysis and nutrient composition in four grain legumes-rhizobium symbiosis. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 18(8): 1595-1609, DOI: 10.1080/01904169509365006
- Cramer, G.R., Läuchli, A. & Polito, V.S. (1985). Displacement of Ca2+ by Na+ from the *Plasmalemma* of root cells. *Plant Physiol.*, 79(1): 207-211, DOI: 10.1104/pp.79.1.207
- Cushman, J.C. & Bohnert, H.J. (2000). Genomic approaches to plant stress tolerance. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 3(2): 117-124, DOI: 10.1016/s1369-5266(99)00052-7
- Demir, Y. & Kocaçalişkan, I. (2002). Effect of NaCl and proline on bean seedlings cultured *in vitro*. *Biol. Plant.*, 45(4): 597-599, DOI: 10.1023/a:1022343101727
- Dickinson, N.M., Baker, A.J.M., Doronila, A., Laidlaw, S. & Reeves, R.D. (2009). Phytoremediation of inorganics: realism and synergies. *Int. J. Phytoremediation*, 11(2): 97-114, DOI: 10.1080/15226510802378368

- Esechie, H.A., Al-Barhi, B., Al-Gheity, S. & Al-Khanjari, S. (2002). Root and shoot growth in salinity-stressed alfalfa in response to nitrogen source. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 25(11): 2559-2569, DOI: 10.1081/pln-120014713
- Flowers, T.J., Hajibagheri, M.A. & Clipson, N.J.W. (1986). Halophytes. *Q. Rev. Biol.*, 61(3): 313-337, DOI: 10.1086/415032
- Fortmeier, R. & Schubert, S. (1995). Salt tolerance of maize (*Zea mays* L.): the role of sodium exclusion. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 18(9): 1041-1047, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00615.x
- Gholipoor, M., Ghasemi-Golezani, K., Khooie, F.R. & Moghaddam, M. (2001). Effects of salinity on initial seedling growth of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Acta Agron. Hung.*, 48(4): 337-343, DOI: 10.1556/aagr. 48.2000.4.3.
- Gorai, M. & Neffati, M. (2007). Germination responses of *Reaumuria vermiculata* to salinity and temperature. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 151(1): 53-59, DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.20 07.00151.x
- Greenway, H. & Munns, R. (1980). Mechanisms of salt tolerance in nonhalophytes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 31(1): 149-190, DOI: 10.1146/annurev.pp.31.060180.0010 53
- Hamza, M. (1978). Influence du régime d'apport du NaCl au milieu sur la régulation du bilan hydrique et de la teneur ionique chez une espèce tolérante, l'*Hedysarum carnosum* Desf., et une espèce sensible, le Haricot, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *B. Soc. Bot. Fr.-Actual.*, 125(3-4): 177-187, DOI: 10.1080/01811789.1978. 10826356
- Hanson, B.R., Hutmacher, R.B. & May, D.M. (2006). Drip irrigation of tomato and cotton under shallow saline ground water conditions. *Irrig. Drain. Syst.*, 20(2-3): 155-175, DOI: 10.1007/s10795-005-9000-9
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K. & Fujita, M. (2012). Plant response to salt

stress and role of exogenous protectants to mitigate salt-induced damages. In book: Ecophysiology and Responses of Plants Under Salt Stress, 25-87, DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4747-4_2

- Hussain, N., Mujeeb, F., Tahir, M., Khan, G.D., Hassan, N.M. & Bari, A. (2002). Effectiveness of *Rhizobium* under salinity stress. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 1(1), 12-14, DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2002.12.14
- Imamul Huq, S.M. & Larher, F. (1984). Osmoregulation in higher plants: Effect of maintaining a constant Na:Ca ratio on the growth, ion balance and organic solute status of NaCl stressed cowpea (*Vigna sinensis* L.). Z. *Pflanzenphysiol.*, 113(2): 163-176, DOI: 10.1016/s004 4-328x(84)80051-3
- Khan, M.G., Silberbush, M. & Lips, S.H. (1994). Physiological studies on salinity and nitrogen interaction in alfalfa. II. Photosynthesis and transpiration. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 17(4): 669-682, DOI: 10.1080/0190416940 936475737
- Li, R., Shi, F., Fukuda, K. & Yang, Y. (2010). Effects of salt and alkali stresses on germination, growth, photosynthesis and ion accumulation in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 56(5): 725-733, DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-0765.2010.00506.x
- Liang, W., Ma, X., Wan, P. & Liu, L. (2018). Plant salt-tolerance mechanism: A review. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 495(1): 286-291, DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017. 11.043
- Molinari, H.B.C., Marur, C.J., Daros, E., de Campos, M.K.F., de Carvalho, J.F.R.P., Filho, J.C.B., ... Vieira, L.G.E. (2007). Evaluation of the stress-inducible production of proline in transgenic sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.): osmotic adjustment, chlorophyll fluorescence and oxidative stress. *Physiol. Plant.*, 130(2): 218-229, DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2007.00909.x

EFFECTS OF SALINITY ON GROWTH AND PROLINE CONTENT ON BEAN AND ALFALFA

- Munns, R. & Termaat, A. (1986). Wholeplant responses to salinity. *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 13(1): 143, DOI: 10.1071/pp9860143
- Munns, R. (1992). A leaf elongation assay detects an unknown growth inhibitor in xylem sap from wheat and barley. *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 19(2): 127, DOI: 10.1071/pp9920127
- Munns, R. & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 59(1): 651-681, DOI: 10.1146/annurev.arplant. 59.032607.092911
- Muchate, N.S., Nikalje, G.C., Rajurkar, N.S., Suprasanna, P. & Nikam, T.D. (2016). Plant salt stress: Adaptive responses, tolerance mechanism and bioengineering for salt tolerance. *Bot. Rev.*, 82(4): 371-406, DOI: 10.1007/ s12229-016-9173-y
- Nakashima, K., Satoh, R., Kiyosue, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. & Shinozaki, K. (1998). A gene encoding prroline dehydrogenase is not only induced by proline and hypoosmolarity, but is also developmentally regulated in the reproductive organs of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.*, 118(4): 1233-1241, DOI: 10.1104/pp.118.4.1233
- Pan, T., Li, W. & Chen, Y. (2011). The influence of salt stress on the accumulation of Na+ and K+ in *Tamarix hispida. Procedia Environ. Sci.*, 10: 1445-1451, DOI: 10.1016/ j.proenv.2011.09.231
- Pesci, P. & Beffagna, N. (1986). Influence of exogenously supplied potassium and sodium salts on the abscisic acid-induced proline accumulation in barley leaf segments. *Physiol. Plant.*, 67(2): 123-128, DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1986.tb02432.x
- Rios-Gonzalez, K., Erdei, L. & Lips, S.H. (2002). The activity of antioxidant enzymes in maize and sunflower seedlings as affected by salinity and different nitrogen sources. *Plant Sci.*,

162(6): 923-930, DOI: 10.1016/s0168 -9452(02)00040-7

- Salama, K.H.A., Mansour, M.M.F., Ali, F.Z.M. & Abou-hadid, A.F. (2007). NaCl-induced changes in plasma membrane lipids and proteins of Zea mays L. cultivars differing in their response to salinity. Acta Physiol. Plant., 29(4): 351-359, DOI: 10.1007/ s11738-007-0044-3
- Slama, F. (1987). Recherches sur les causes de l'exclusion du sodium des feuilles des plantes sensibles à NaCl. *Agron.*, 7(7): 517-522, DOI: 10.1051/agro:19870707
- Serraj, R. & Sinclair, T.R. (2002). Osmolyte accumulation: can it really help increase crop yield under drought conditions? *Plant Cell Environ.*, 25(2): 333-341, DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2002.00754.x
- Tal, M., Rosental, I., Abramovitz, R. & Forti, M. (1979). Salt tolerance in Simmondsia chinensis: Water balance and accumulation of chloride, sodium and proline under low and high salinity. Ann. Bot., 43(6): 701-708, DOI: 10.1093/oxford journals.aob.a085683
- Tavakkoli, E., Fatehi, F., Coventry, S., Rengasamy, P. & McDonald, G.K. (2011). Additive effects of Na+ and Cl-ions on barley growth under salinity stress. J. Exp. Bot., 62(6): 2189-2203, DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erq422
- Zahran, H.H. & Sprent, J.I. (1986). Effects of sodium chloride and polyethylene glycol on root-hair infection and nodulation of *Vicia faba* L. plants by *Rhizobium leguminosarum. Planta*, 167(3): 303-309, DOI: 10.1007/bf0 0391332
- Zörb, C., Noll, A., Karl, S., Leib, K., Yan, F. & Schubert, S. (2005). Molecular characterization of Na+/H+ antiporters (ZmNHX) of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and their expression under salt stress. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 162(1): 55-66, DOI: 10.1016/j.jplph.2004.03.010

© 2021 by the authors; licensee *Journal of Applied Life Sciences and Environment*, lasi, Romania. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>)